

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
SAVANNAH DIVISION

GERALD H. MILLER,

Petitioner,

v. 4:11-cv-65

WARDEN STANLEY WILLIAMS, and  
STATE BOARD OF PARDONS and  
PAROLES, et al.,

Respondents.

**ORDER**


Before the Court is Petitioner Gerald H. Miller's ("Miller") "Objections to the Court's Denial of his Motions in Opposition to the State's Censorship of Petition[er]'s Mail," which the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals has construed as a Motion for Reconsideration. Docs. 53; 56; *see also* FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(4).

"Federal courts grant [reconsideration of previous orders] only for extraordinary circumstances." *Frederick v. Kirby Tankships, Inc.*, 205 F.3d 1277, 1288 (11th Cir. 2000). "Reconsideration may be necessary [only] if there is (1) newly discovered evidence, (2) an intervening development or change in controlling law, or (3) the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice." *Ramos-Barrientos v. Bland*, 728 F. Supp. 2d 1360, 1382-83 (S.D. Ga. 2010) (quoting *Jersawitz v. People TV*, 71 F. Supp. 2d 1330, 1344 (N.D. Ga. 1999)).

Miller fails to show that any of the grounds for reconsideration exist here. For this reason, and those reasons stated in the

Court's August 13, 2012 Order denying his previous motions, Miller's Motion for Reconsideration, Doc. 53, is ***DENIED***.

This 9 day of October 2012.

  
B. AVANT EDENFIELD, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA